

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BARRY DANIEL BLAIR,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF MODESTO, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-1501 AC P


ORDER

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, initiated this case by filing a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. ECF No. 1. Prior to the case being transferred to this court, defendants Wells Fargo Bank, California Department of Justice, California Franchise Tax Board, and California State Treasurer's Office filed motions to dismiss. ECF Nos. 7, 8. After the case was transferred, defendants United States of America, United States Department of Justice, and Federal Bureau of Prisons also moved to dismiss the case. ECF No. 13. Plaintiff has not opposed any of the motions to dismiss, and his time for doing so has passed.¹ Plaintiff will be given one final opportunity to respond to the motions to dismiss and is cautioned that failure to do so will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

¹ The time to respond to the motions filed in the District of Arizona expired prior to the case being transferred to this court. See Dist. Ariz. LRCiv 7.2(c) (responsive memoranda due fourteen days after service).

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty-one days after of the service
2 of this order, plaintiff shall file and serve an opposition to the motions to dismiss or a statement of
3 non-opposition. Failure to comply will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed
4 without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

5 DATED: July 2, 2025

6 
7 ALLISON CLAIRE
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28